

Daniel 8b – The Sanctuary Belongs to the Lord

What is the “daily?” The word daily here is an adjective that has no noun to qualify. The word simply means something that goes on and on without interruption. Its only in the sanctuary that that we find this continual/tamiyd without interruption. It was used in the ministry of the priest in the Court and the Holy Place of the sanctuary and not in the Most Holy: Exodus 28:30, Hebrews 7:23-25, Exodus 29:42, Lev 6:13, Exodus 25:30, Lev 24:1-4, Exodus 30:8. What did all these things represent? The saving ministry of Christ. Where does Jesus minister? In the heavenly sanctuary. The little horn was going to take the heavenly ministry of Christ and cast it on earth, establish a rival ministry on earth. This verse meets its explanation in the celebration of the Eucharist in Roman Church and elements used and how the bread and wine are turned into real things and not as symbols of the ministry of Jesus. Instead of people being directed to the heavenly ministry, they are focused on earth and the man of sin. The candlestick which shines in the sanctuary was turned off in the dark ages. How about the showbread? Instead of the word of God they came with traditions. Alter of incense: merits of Jesus upon the Saints but the little horn now directs people to the earthly priests, saints and Mary. All the functions ministry of Jesus in the heavenly sanctuary was placed on earth and on man. Salvation is at stake here. The taking away of the daily is the taking away of the continual ministry of Jesus in His sanctuary. How did the little horn take away the daily sacrifice and cast down the place of Christ's sanctuary? Dan. 8:11.

By placing the intercession for humans into the hands of the priests through the confessional and by sacrificing Christ anew in every Mass, the papacy has removed Christ's heavenly ministry from the thinking of humanity. Daniel also saw that "an army was given over to the horn to oppose the daily sacrifices; and he cast truth down to the ground" (vs. 12, NKJV). What "army" was given over to the little horn (Dan. 8:12)? In the Old Testament, the sanctuary had an "army" of ministering Levites. The little horn has its own army of ministers who serve the system. "The sacrifice of Christ and the sacrifice of the Eucharist [Mass] are one single sacrifice. . . . 'In this divine sacrifice which is celebrated in the Mass, the same Christ who offered himself once in a bloody manner on the altar of the cross is contained and is offered in an unbloody manner.' "— Catechism of the Catholic Church (New York: Doubleday, 1994), no. 1367, p. 381. It is through this kind of teaching that the ministry of Christ in the heavenly sanctuary has been overthrown in the minds of many Christians and its place effectively taken by misleading substitutes. Through the Mass and the confessional, the minds of Christian believers are drawn away from a continual dependence upon the mediatorial ministry of the Savior in His sanctuary. By elaborate ceremonies, all in the name of Christ, the ministry of Christ is obscured and lost sight of. The complete forgiveness that Christ wants to give to those who put their trust in His free and perfect righteousness has been usurped by a system that, in effect, takes the place of Christ Himself. Instead of relying directly on Christ and on what He has done for us, believers are taught to depend upon a church as the vehicle through which everything that Christ offers us is dispensed. This theme has been brought into stronger light here.

*I believe the Sanctuary, to be cleansed at the end of the 2300 days, is the New Jerusalem Temple, of which Christ is a minister. **The Lord shew me in vision, more than one year ago, that Brother Crosier had the true light, on the cleansing of the Sanctuary, &c; and that it was his will, that Brother C. should write out the view which he gave us in the Day-Star, Extra, February 7, 1846. I feel fully authorized by the Lord, to recommend that Extra, to every saint.*** {WLF 12.8}

*I pray that these lines may prove a blessing to you, and all the dear children who may read them. * * E. G. White.* {WLF 12.9}

Following is the article endorsed above by EGW

The Sanctuary – By O. R. L. CROSIER

"See, saith he, that thou make all things according to the pattern shewed to thee in the mount." Hebrews 8:5.

This article, "The Sanctuary" was printed in the "Day-Star Extra" in 1846. Regarding it, Mrs. White wrote in a letter to Brother Eli Curtis under date of April 21, 1847: {1850 ORLC, SANC i.1}

"I believe the Sanctuary to be cleansed at the end of the 2300 days, is the New Jerusalem Temple, of which Christ is a minister. The Lord shew me in vision, more than one year ago, that Brother Crosier had the true light, on the cleansing of the Sanctuary, etc; and that it was His will, that Brother C. should write out the view which he gave us in the Day-Star Extra, February 7, 1846. I feel fully authorized by the Lord, to recommend that Extra, to every saint." - A Word to the "Little Flock," printed in 1847. {1850 ORLC, SANC i.2}

In the Advent Review of September 1850, the Crosier article was reprinted, seemingly in full. {1850 ORLC, SANC i.3}

In the Advent Review, printed at Auburn, in 1850, this article was again reprinted, but two and a half very important paragraphs were omitted. These were also omitted in a partial reprint of the article in the Review and Herald of September 1852. {1850 ORLC, SANC i.4}

These essential two and a half paragraphs omitted from the later reprints of the article have been copied in this document, in italics, in order to clearly point out to the reader the portion omitted from the later reprints. They will be found on pages 3 and 4 of this copy. {1850 ORLC, SANC i.5}

[CD-ROM Editor's Note: The italics have been lost.]

Before copying this article, all Scripture references have been verified. For the convenience of the reader the figures used in the Scripture references have been changed from the Roman numerals to the Arabic. Where references were made, giving, "ch." referring to a book before mentioned, we have in each case substituted the name of the book. Illustrating this is the last Scripture reference in the first paragraph of page 4. It read, "ch. VIII, 1, 2." In this copy it has been written "Hebrews 8:1,2." {1850 ORLC, SANC i.6}

Several very evident errors have been corrected in Scripture references and in a few cases where there was a seeming error we have used the reference given, and added "see " and we have given what we believe to be the correct reference. {1850 ORLC, SANC i.7}

The long paragraphs have been broken up to make the article more readable. {1850 ORLC, SANC i.8}

These mechanical changes have been made to render the article more easily read and more useful for study. W. C. WHITE. October 9, 1931. {1850 ORLC, SANC i.9}

[CD-ROM Editor's Note: The 1931 reprint of the Advent Review articles was mimeographed with new paging 1-25.]

THE SANCTUARY

The Sanctuary was the heart of the typical system. There the Lord placed His name, manifested His glory, and held converse with the High Priest relative to the welfare of Israel. While we inquire from the Scriptures what the Sanctuary is, let all educational prejudice be dismissed from the mind. For the Bible clearly defines, what the Sanctuary is, and answers every reasonable question you may ask concerning it. {1850 ORLC, SANC 1.1}

The name, Sanctuary, is applied to several different things in the Old Testament, neither did the Wonderful Numberer, tell Daniel what Sanctuary was to be cleansed at the end of the 2300 days, but called it the Sanctuary, as though Daniel well understood it, and that he did is evident from the fact that he did not ask what it was. But as it has now become a matter of dispute as to what the Sanctuary is, our only safety lies in seeking from the New Testament, the Divine comment upon it. Its decision should place the matter beyond all controversy with Christians. {1850 ORLC, SANC 1.2}

Paul freely discusses this subject in the Epistle to the Hebrews, to whom the typical covenant pertained. "Then verily the first covenant had also ordinances of divine service, and a worldly sanctuary. (Hebrews 13:11, see Hebrews 9:1-5.) {1850 ORLC, SANC 1.3}

"For there was a tabernacle made; the first, wherein was the candlestick, and the table, and the shewbread; which is called (Hagia) Holy. {1850 ORLC, SANC 1.4}

"And after the second veil, the tabernacle which is called the (*Hagia Hagion*) Holy of Holies; {1850 ORLC, SANC 1.5}

"Which had the golden censer, and the ark of the covenant overlaid round about with gold, wherein was the golden pot that had manna, and Aaron's rod that budded, and the tables of the covenant; {1850 ORLC, SANC 1.6}

"And over it the cherubims of glory overshadowing the mercy-seat; of which we cannot now speak particularly." {1850 ORLC, SANC 2.1}

A particular description is found in the last four books of the Pentateuch. "Sanctuary" was the first name the Lord gave it; Exodus 25:8 which name covers not only the tabernacle with its two apartments, but also the court and all the vessels of the ministry. This Paul calls the Sanctuary of the first covenant, "which was a figure for the time then present, in which were offered both gifts and sacrifices;" Hebrews 9:9. {1850 ORLC, SANC 2.2}

"But Christ being come an High Priest of good things to come by a greater and more perfect tabernacle, not made with hands;" verse 11. {1850 ORLC, SANC 2.3}

The priests entered the "figures" or "patterns of the true", which true are the "heavenly places themselves", into which Christ entered when He entered "heaven itself"; verses 23, 24. When He ascended to the right hand of the Father, "in the heavens", He became "A Minister of the Sanctuary (or **Hagion, Holies**) and of the true tabernacle, which the Lord pitched and not man;" Hebrews 8:1,2. That is the Sanctuary of the "better (the new) covenant;" verse 6. {1850 ORLC, SANC 2.4}

The Sanctuary to be cleansed at the end of 2300 days is also the Sanctuary of the new covenant, for the vision of the treading down and cleansing, is after the crucifixion. We see that the Sanctuary of the new covenant is not on earth, but in heaven. The true tabernacle which forms a part of the new covenant Sanctuary, was made and pitched by the Lord, in contradistinction to that of the first covenant which was made and pitched by man, in obedience to the command of God; Exodus 25:8. {1850 ORLC, SANC 2.5}

Now what does the same apostle say the Lord has pitched? "A city which hath foundations whose builder and maker is God;" Hebrews 11:10. What is its name? "The heavenly Jerusalem;" Hebrews 12:22; Revelation 21. "A building of God, an house not made with hands eternal in the heavens;" 2Corinthians 5:1. "My Father's house of many mansions;" John 14:2. {1850 ORLC, SANC 2.6}

When our Saviour was at Jerusalem, and had pronounced its house desolate, the disciples came to Him to show Him the buildings of the temple. Then He said: "There shall not be left here one stone upon another that shall not be thrown down;" Matthew 24:1,2. That temple was their Sanctuary; 1Chronicles 22:17-19; 28:9-13; 2Chronicles 29:5, 21; 36:14, 17. Such an announcement would tend to fill them with sadness and fear, as foretelling the derangement, if not the total prostration of their entire religious system. But to comfort and teach them, He says, "In My Father's house are many mansions;" John 14:1-3. {1850 ORLC, SANC 2.7}

Standing, as He was, on the dividing line between the typical covenant and the anti-typical, and having just declared the house of the former no longer valid, and foretold its destruction; how natural that He should point His disciples to the Sanctuary of the latter, about which their affections and interests were to cluster as they had about that of the former. The Sanctuary of the new covenant is connected with New Jerusalem, like the Sanctuary of the first covenant was with Old Jerusalem. As that was the place where the priests of that covenant ministered, so this is in heaven, the place where the Priest of the new covenant ministers. To these places, and these only, the New Testament applies the name "Sanctuary", and it does appear that this should forever set the question at rest. {1850 ORLC, SANC 3.1}

But as we have been so long and industriously taught to look to the earth for the Sanctuary, it may be proper to inquire, by what Scriptural authority have we been thus taught? I can find none. If others can, let them produce it. Let it be remembered that the definition of Sanctuary is "a holy or sacred place". Is the earth, is Palestine such a place? Their entire contents answer, No! **Was Daniel so taught? Look at his vision.** {1850 ORLC, SANC 3.2}

"And the place of His Sanctuary was cast down;" Daniel 8:11. This casting down was in the days and by the means of the Roman power; therefore, the Sanctuary of this text was not the Earth, nor Palestine, because the former was cast down at the fall, more than 4,000 years, and the latter at the captivity, more than 700 years previous to the event of this passage, and neither by Roman agency. {1850 ORLC, SANC 3.3}

The Sanctuary cast down is His against whom Rome magnified himself, which was the Prince of the host, Jesus Christ; and Paul teaches that His Sanctuary is in heaven. Again, Daniel 11:30, 31, "For the ships of Chittim shall come against him; therefore, shall he be grieved and return, and have indignation (the staff to chastise) against the holy covenant (Christianity), so shall he do; he shall even return and have intelligence with them (priests and bishops) that forsake the holy covenant. {1850 ORLC, SANC 3.4}

"And arms (civil and religious) shall stand on his part, and they (Rome and those that forsake the holy covenant) shall pollute the Sanctuary of strength." {1850 ORLC, SANC 3.5}

What was this that Rome and the apostles of Christianity should joint pollute? This combination was formed against the "holy covenant", and it was the Sanctuary of that covenant they polluted; which they could do as well as to pollute the name of God; Jeremiah 34:16; Ezekiel 20; Malachi 1:7. This was the same as profaning or blaspheming His name. In this sense this "politico-religious" beast polluted the Sanctuary, (Revelation 13:6), and cast it down from its place in heaven, (Psalm 102:19; Jeremiah 17:12; Hebrews 8:1,2) when they called Rome the holy city, (Revelation 21:2) and installed the Pope there with the titles, "Lord God the Pope", "Holy Father", "Head of the Church", etc., and there, in the counterfeit, "temple of God", he professes to do what Jesus actually does in His

Sanctuary; 2Thessalonians 2:1-8. The Sanctuary has been trodden under foot (Daniel 8:13), the same as the Son of God has. (Hebrews 10:29.) {1850 ORLC, SANC 3.6}

Daniel prayed "Cause Thy face to shine upon Thy Sanctuary that is desolate;" Daniel 9:17. This was the typical Sanctuary built by Solomon. "Thou hast commanded me to build a temple upon Thy Holy Mount, and an altar in the city wherein Thou dwellest, a resemblance of Thy Holy tabernacle, which Thou hast prepared from the beginning;" Wisdom of Solomon 9:8; 1Chronicles 28:10-13. It has shared in the seventy years desolation of Jerusalem; Daniel 9:2; 2Chronicles 36:14-21. It was rebuilt after the captivity; Nehemiah 10:39. Moses received the patterns of the Sanctuary, built at Sinai when he was with the Lord forty days in the cloud on the Mount; and David received the patterns of that built by Solomon, which superseded Moses' with its chambers, porches, courts, the courses of the priests and Levites, and all the vessels of service, etc., "by the Spirit;" 1Chronicles 28:10-13. {1850 ORLC, SANC 4.1}

It is manifest that both Moses and David had prophetic visions of the New Jerusalem with its Sanctuary and Christ, the officiating Priest. When that built by Moses was superseded by Solomon's, the Ark was borne from the former to the latter; 2Chronicles 5:2-8. The Sanctuary comprehended not only the Tabernacle, but also all the vessels of the ministry, enclosed by the court in which the tabernacle stood; Numbers 3:29-31; 10:17, 21. So the court in which the Temple stood was properly called the Sanctuary - Prideaux. We learn the same from 2Chronicles 29:18, 21. "We have cleansed all the house of the Lord, and the altar of burnt-offering, with all the vessels thereof, and the shew-bread table with all the vessels thereof." {1850 ORLC, SANC 4.2}

The altar of burnt-offering with its vessels stood before the Temple in the inner court, the whole of which are in verse 21 called the Sanctuary. Well, says one, is not Palestine called the Sanctuary? I think not. Exodus 15:17 - "Thou shalt bring them in and plant them in the mountain of Thine inheritance, in the place, O Lord, which Thou hast made for Thee to dwell in; in the Sanctuary, O Lord, which Thy hands have established." {1850 ORLC, SANC 4.3}

What is it which the Lord "has made to dwell in", which His "hands have established"? Paul says it is "A city;" Hebrews 11:10; a "Tabernacle", Hebrews 8:2; "A building in the heavens," 2Corinthians 5:1. And the Lord has chosen Mount Zion in Palestine for the place of its final location; Psalm 132:13,14. "For the Lord hath chosen Zion; He hath desired it for His habitation. {1850 ORLC, SANC 5.1}

"This is My rest forever; here will I dwell; for I have desired it." {1850 ORLC, SANC 5.2}

"He brought them to the border of the Sanctuary, even to this mountain;" Psalm 78:54; which was its chosen border or place; but not the Sanctuary itself, any more than Mount Moriah, on which the Temple was built, was the Temple itself. Did they regard that land as the Sanctuary? If they did not, we should not. A view of the text in which the word occurs will show: "Let them make Me a Sanctuary;" Exodus 25:8. "The shekel of the Sanctuary," Exodus 30:13 and above

twenty others like it. "Then wrought Bezaleel and Aholiab, and every wise-hearted man, in whom the Lord put wisdom and understanding to know how to work all manner of work for the service of the Sanctuary," Exodus 26:1-6, see Exodus 36:1. "Before the veil of the Sanctuary," Leviticus 4:6. "Carry your brethren from before the Sanctuary," Leviticus 10:4. "Nor come into the Sanctuary;" Leviticus 12:4. "He shall make atonement for the tabernacle;" Leviticus 16:33. "Reverence My Sanctuary;" Leviticus 19:30; 26:2. "Nor profane the Sanctuary of his God;" Leviticus 21:12. "Vessels of the Sanctuary;" Numbers 3:31. "Charge of the Sanctuary;" Numbers 3:32,38. "They minister in the Sanctuary;" Numbers 4:12. "In the Sanctuary, and the vessels thereof." Verse 16. "And when Aaron and his sons have made an end of covering the Sanctuary and all the vessels of the Sanctuary, as the camp is to set forward; after that the sons of Kohath shall come to bear it;" Numbers 4:15; 7:9; 10:21. "That there be no plague among the children of Israel when the children of Israel come nigh unto the Sanctuary;" Numbers 8:19. "Thou and thy sons and thy Father's house with thee shall bear the iniquity of the Sanctuary;" Numbers 18:1. "He hath defiled the Sanctuary of his God;" Numbers 19:20. Joshua "took a great stone and set it up there under an oak that was by the Sanctuary of the Lord." Joshua 24:26. "All the instruments of the Sanctuary." 1Chronicles 9:29. "Build ye the Sanctuary;" 1Chronicles 22:19. "Governors of the Sanctuary;" 1Chronicles 24:5. "The Lord hath chosen thee to build an house for the Sanctuary;" 1Chronicles 28:10; 2Chronicles 20:8. "Go out of the Sanctuary;" 2Chronicles 26:18; 29:21; 30:8. "Purification of the Sanctuary;" 2Chronicles 30:19; 36:17. {1850 ORLC, SANC 5.3}

I have given nearly every text, and, I believe, every different form of expression in which the word occurs till we come to the Psalms; so that every one can see what they understood the Sanctuary to be. And of the fifty texts quoted, not one applies it to the land of Palestine, nor any land. That Sanctuary, though enclosed with curtains, was called "the house of the Lord," (Judges 18:31; 1Samuel 1:7-24) and was pitched at the city of Shiloh at the time of dividing the land; Judges 18:1, 10, hence it was called the "Tabernacle of Shiloh," (safety and happiness) Psalms 78:60. The Lord forsook it when the Philistines took the ark (1Samuel 4:3-11) and delivered His strength into captivity, and His glory into the enemy's hand; verse 21. {1850 ORLC, SANC 6.1}

It was brought back to Kirjath-jearim (1Samuel 7:1,2), thence to the house of Obed-edom, thence to the city of David which is Zion, (2Samuel 6:1-19; 5:9) and thence, at the direction of Solomon, the Ark was conveyed into the Holy of Holies of the temple (1Kings 8:1-6), which was built in Mount Moriah near Mount Zion; 2Chronicles 3:1. The Lord has chosen Zion to dwell in rest forever; (Psalms 132:13,14) but as yet He had dwelt there but a short time, and then in curtains made with hands; but when He shall appear in His glory He will have "mercy on Zion" and build it up; then Jerusalem upon it, shall be "a quiet habitation, a tabernacle that shall not be taken down;" (Psalm 102; Isaiah 33:20). And then "the people shall dwell in Zion at Jerusalem;" verses 18, 19. The Song of Moses, (Exodus 15) is evidently prophetic, and contemplates the happy scenes of the Eden Zion. And so Ezekiel has it. The Lord will bring the whole house of Israel up out of their graves into the land of Israel; and then set His Sanctuary and tabernacle in the midst of them for evermore. The Sanctuary is not "the land of Israel" nor the people; for it is set in their midst, and is built and forms a part of the city whose name is, "The Lord is there." {1850 ORLC, SANC 6.2}

THE PRIESTHOOD OF CHRIST

The priesthood of the worldly Sanctuary of the first covenant belonged to the sons of Levi; but that of the heavenly, of the better covenant, to the Son of God. He fulfils both the Priesthood of Melchisedec and Aaron. In some respects the Priesthood of Christ resembles that of Melchisedec; and in others that of Aaron or Levi. (1) He was "made an High Priest forever, after the order of Melchisedec." Taxis, rendered order, properly signifies "series, succession." Christ, like Melchisedec, had no priestly descent of pedigree; Hebrews 7:3 (margin) i.e. He never followed nor will have a successor in office; and "because He continueth ever, hath an unchangeable Priesthood," (which passeth not from one to another; margin) verse 24. {1850 ORLC, SANC 7.1}

The Priesthood of Levi to be continuous had many and a succession of priests, "because they were not suffered to continue by reason of death;" verse 23. (2) Being after the order of Melchisedec, He is superior to the sons of Levi; because He blessed and received tithes from them in Abraham; verses 1, 7, 9, 10. (3) He is King and Priest; a King by birth, being from the tribe of Judah, and a Priest by the oath of His Father; verses 14, 21. (4) Being Himself perfect, and His priesthood unending, He is able to "perfect forever" and "save them to the uttermost that come unto God by Him, seeing He ever liveth to make intercession for them." He was not called after the order of Aaron; i.e. not in his succession; but this does not at all prove that the Priesthood of Aaron was not typical of the Priesthood of Christ. Paul distinctly shows that it is. {1850 ORLC, SANC 7.2}

(1) After calling upon us to "consider the Apostle and High Priest of our profession (or religion), Christ Jesus," he lays the foundation of the investigation by drawing the analogy between Moses over his house (olkos, people) and Christ over His, (Hebrews 3:1-6) and says: "Moses verily was faithful in all his house, as a servant, for a testimony of those things which were to be spoken after." This clearly shows that the Mosaic economy was typical of the divine. (2) He shows that He was called of God to be an High Priest "as was Aaron;" Hebrews 5:1-5. (3) Like Aaron and his sons, He took upon Him flesh and blood, the seed of Abraham, "was in all points tempted like as we are, yet without sin," was made "perfect through suffering," and "in all things it behooved Him to be made like unto His brethren; that He might be a merciful and faithful High Priest in things pertaining to God, to make reconciliation for the sins of the people;" Hebrews 2:4. (5) Both were ordained for men in things pertaining to God: that (they might) offer both gifts and sacrifices for sins;" Hebrews 5:1; 8:3. {1850 ORLC, SANC 7.3}

(6) Paul evidently considered the Levitical priesthood typical of Christ's from the pains he takes to explain the analogies and contrasts between them; (7) "And they truly were many priests, because they were not suffered to continue by reason of death: but this Man, because He continueth ever, hath an unchangeable priesthood." (8) "Who needeth not daily, as those high priests to offer up sacrifices, first for His own sins, and then for the people's; for this He did once when He offered up Himself." (9) "For the law maketh men high priests which have infirmity; but the word of the oath which was since the law, maketh the Son who is consecrated (perfected, margin) for evermore;" Hebrews 7:23-28. (10) "But now hath He obtained a more excellent ministry" than theirs; Hebrews 8:6. (11) "By how much also He is the mediator of a better covenant" than theirs; Hebrews 8:6. (12) "But Christ being come an High Priest of good things to come, by a greater and more perfect tabernacle" than theirs;

Hebrews 9:11. (13) "Neither by the blood of goats and calves, but by His own blood, He entered in once into the holy place." verse 12. (14) "For if the blood of bulls and of goats and the ashes of an heifer sprinkling the unclean sanctifieth to the purifying of the flesh; how much more shall the blood of Christ, who, through the eternal spirit offered Himself without spot to God purge your conscience;" verses 13, 14. (15) "For Christ is not entered into the holy places made with hands, which are the figures of the true; but into heaven itself; verse 24. (16) "Nor yet that He should offer Himself often, as the high priest entereth into the holy place every year with blood of others;" but now once in the end of the world hath He appeared to put away sin by the sacrifice of Himself;" verses 25, 26. (17) "And as it is appointed unto (the) men (priests) once to die, but after this the judgment: so Christ was once offered to bear the sins of many; and unto them that look for Him shall He appear the second time without sin unto salvation." verses 27, 28. {1850 ORLC, SANC 8.1}

(18) "For the law having a shadow of good things to come, and not the very image of the things can never with those sacrifices which they offered year by year continually, make the comers thereunto perfect;" but "by one offering He hath perfected forever them that are sanctified;" Hebrews 10:14. (19) "It is not possible that the blood of bulls and of goats should take away sins;" "but a body hast Thou prepared Me;" verses 4, 5. These are a part of the contrasts or comparisons the Apostle draws between the Levitical priesthood and Christ's, and there is a resemblance in every instance, but Christ's is superior to Levi's. - I add one more. Hebrews 8:4, 5. "For if He were on earth He should not be a priest, seeing that there (many, they) are priests that offer gifts according to the law: Who serve unto the example and shadow of heavenly things." {1850 ORLC, SANC 8.2}

The features of the substance always bear a resemblance to those of the shadow, hence the "heavenly things" referred to in this text must be priestly service "in the heavens" (verses 1, 2) performed by our High Priest in His Sanctuary; for if the shadow is service, the substance is service also. {1850 ORLC, SANC 9.1}

As the priests of the law served unto the example and shadow of the heavenly service we can from their service learn something of the nature of the heavenly service. "Moses was admonished of God when he was about to make the tabernacle; for, see (saith he) that thou make all things according to the pattern showed to thee in the Mount." {1850 ORLC, SANC 9.2}

None can deny that, in obedience to this admonition, Moses made or instituted the Levitical priesthood; it was then "according to the pattern" which the Lord showed him, and that pattern was of heavenly things, Hebrews 9:23. If there was not another text to prove that the Levitical priesthood was typical of the Divine, this would abundantly do it. Yet some are even denying this obvious import of the priesthood; but if this is not its import, I can see no meaning in it. It is an idle round of ceremonies without sense or use, as it did not perfect those for whom it was performed; but looked upon as typical of the heavenly, it is replete with the most important instruction. As this is the application made of it by the New Testament, so we must regard it, while we examine the atonement made under the Levitical priesthood. {1850 ORLC, SANC 9.3}

"Now when these things (the worldly Sanctuary with its two apartments and the furniture in each) were thus ordained, the priests went always (daily, Hebrews 7:27; 10:11) into the first tabernacle, accomplishing the service of God. {1850 ORLC, SANC 9.4}

"But into the second went the high priest alone once every year, not without blood, which he offered for himself, and for the errors of the people." Hebrews 9:6,7. {1850 ORLC, SANC 9.5}

Here Paul divides the services of the Levitical priesthood into two classes - one daily in the Holy, and the other yearly in the Holy of Holies. Their stated daily services, performed in the Holy and at the brazen altar in the court before the tabernacle, consisted of a burnt-offering of two lambs, one in the morning and the other at even, with a meat-offering which was one tenth of an ephah of flour mingled with the fourth part of an hin of beaten oil, and a drink-offering which was one-fourth of an hin of strong wine. The meat-offering was burnt with the lamb, and the drink-offering was poured in the Holy; Exodus 29:38-42; Numbers 28:3-8. In connection with this, they burned on the golden altar in the Holy, sweet incense, which was a very rich perfume, when they dressed and lighted the lamps every evening and morning. Exodus 30:34-38; 31:11; 30:7-9. The same was afterwards done at the Temple. 1Chronicles 16:37-40; 2Chronicles 2:4; 13:4-12; (13:3 see 2Chronicles 31:3) Ezra 3:3. {1850 ORLC, SANC 9.6}

This did not atone for sins either individually or collectively. The daily service described was a sort of continual intercession; but the making of atonement was a special work for which special directions are given. Different words are used both in the Old Testament and New, to express the same idea as At-one-ment. {1850 ORLC, SANC 10.1}

Examples. - The italicized words are, in the text, synonymous with atone or atonement. Exodus 29:36; "Thou shalt cleanse the altar when thou hast made an atonement for it." - Leviticus 12:8; "The priest shall make an atonement for her and she shall be clean." Leviticus 14:2; "This shall be the law of the leper in the day of his cleansing." Verse 21; "The priest shall make an atonement for him and he shall be clean." The atonement could not be made for him till after he was healed of the leprosy, Leviticus 13:45, 46. Till he was healed, he had to dwell alone without the camp. Then Leviticus 14:3,4; "The priest shall go forth out of the camp; and the priest shall look, and behold if the plague of the leprosy be healed in the leper; then shall the priest command to take for him that is to be cleansed two birds alive and clean," etc. The law was the same in cleansing a house from the leprosy. Verses 33-57. The stones affected with the plague were removed and the house "scraped within round about" and then repaired with new material. {1850 ORLC, SANC 10.2}

Physical uncleanness is now all removed and we would call it clean; but not so; it is only just prepared to be cleansed according to the law. Verse 48; "And he shall take to cleanse the house two birds" etc. Verse 49; "And he shall cleanse the house with the blood of the bird" etc. Verses 52, 53; "And make an atonement for the house, and it shall be clean." Leviticus 16:18,19; {1850 ORLC, SANC 10.3}

"And he shall go out unto the altar that is before the Lord, and make an atonement for it." "And he shall sprinkle of the blood upon it with his finger seven times, and cleanse it and hallow it from the uncleanness of the children of Israel." Leviticus 8:15; "And Moses took the

blood, and put it upon the horns of the altar round about with his fingers and purified the altar, and poured the blood at the bottom of the altar, and sanctified it, to make reconciliation upon it," 2Chronicles 29:29 see 2Chronicles 29:24. "And they made reconciliation with their blood upon the altar, to make an atonement for all Israel," Jeremiah 33:8; "I will cleanse them from all their iniquities," "and I will pardon all their iniquities." Romans 5:9-11; "Being now justified by His blood," "by whom we have now received the atonement," 2Corinthians 5:17-19; "Who hath reconciled us to Himself by Jesus Christ." Ephesians 2:16; "And that He might reconcile both unto God," Hebrews 9:13, 14; "The blood of bulls sanctifieth to the purifying of the flesh; but the blood of Christ shall purge our conscience from dead works." He is the Mediator for the "redemption of the transgressions," and to "perfect forever them that are sanctified," Hebrews 10:14; Ephesians 1:7; "In whom we have redemption through His blood, the forgiveness of our sins," Acts 3:19; "Be converted that your sins may be blotted out." {1850 ORLC, SANC 11.1}

From these texts we learn that the words atone, cleanse, reconcile, purify, purge, pardon, sanctify, hallow, forgive, justify, redeem, blot out, and some others, are used to signify, the same work, viz., bringing into favor with God; and in all cases blood is the means, and sometimes blood and water. The atonement is the great idea of the Law, as well as the Gospel; and as the design of that of the Law was to teach us that of the Gospel, it is very important to be understood. The atonement which the priest made for the people in connection with their daily ministrations was different from that made on the tenth day of the seventh month. In making the former, they went no further than in the Holy; but to make the latter they entered the Holy of Holies - the former was made for individual cases, the latter for the whole nation of Israel collectively - the former was made for the forgiveness of sins, the latter for blotting them out - the former could be made at any time, the latter only on the tenth day of the seventh month. Hence the former may be called the daily atonement and the latter the yearly, or the former the individual, and the latter the national atonement. {1850 ORLC, SANC 11.2}

The individual atonement for the forgiveness of sins was made for a single person, or for the whole congregation in case they were collectively guilty of some sin. The 1st chapter of Leviticus gives directions for the burnt-offering, the 2nd for the meat-offering, the 3rd for the peace-offering, and the 4th for the sin-offering, which, as its name implies, was an offering for sins, in which he who offered it attained forgiveness of his sins. The trespass-offering, Leviticus 5; 6:1-7, was similar to the sin-offering, "If a soul sin through ignorance," Leviticus 4:2, "when he knoweth of it, then shall he be guilty," Leviticus 5:3, "And it shall be when he shall be guilty in any of these things, that he shall confess that he hath sinned in that thing," verse 5. {1850 ORLC, SANC 11.3}

From Numbers 5:6-8, it appears that confession and restitution are necessary in all cases before the atonement could be made for the individual. "When a man or woman shall commit any sin that man commit, to do a trespass against the Lord, and that person be guilty, {1850 ORLC, SANC 12.1}

"Then they shall confess their sin which they have done, and he shall recompense his trespass with the principle thereof, and add unto it the fifth part thereof, and give it unto him against whom he hath trespassed." {1850 ORLC, SANC 12.2}

Then he or the elders (if it was for the congregation) brought the victim for the sin or trespass-offering to the door of the tabernacle of the congregation on the north side of the altar of burnt-offering in the court, Leviticus 4:24; 1:11; 17:1-7, there he (or the elders) laid his hand on its head and killed it, Leviticus 4:2-4, 13-15, 22-24, 27-29. Then, the victim being presented and slain, the priest that was anointed took some of the blood into the Holy, and with his finger sprinkled it before the veil of the Sanctuary and put some of it upon the horns of the altar of incense, then poured the remainder of the blood at the bottom of the altar. Thus he made an atonement for the individual, and his sin was forgiven, Leviticus 4:5-10, 16-20, 25, 26, 30-35. The carcasses of the sin-offering were taken without the camp and burned "in a clean place," Leviticus 4:11, 12, 21. {1850 ORLC, SANC 12.3}

It should be distinctly remembered that the priest did not begin his duties till he obtained the blood of the victim, and that they were all performed in the court (the enclosure of the Sanctuary), and that the atonement thus made was only for the forgiveness of sins. These points are expressly taught in this chapter and the following one on the trespass-offering. Here is an atonement, to make which, the priests only entered the Holy, and to make it they could enter that apartment "always" or "daily". "But into the second (the Holy of Holies) went the high priest alone once every year, not without blood, which he offered for himself, and for the errors of the people," (**Laos, nation**). This defines the yearly to be. {1850 ORLC, SANC 12.4}

The National Atonement, of which the Lord "speaks particularly" in Leviticus 16: "And the Lord said unto Moses, speak unto Aaron, thy brother, that he come not at all times into the holy place within the veil, before the mercy-seat, which is upon the ark; that he die not: for I will appear in the cloud upon the mercy-seat:" verse 2. For what purpose and when could he enter it? "To make an atonement for all Israel, (the whole nation,) for all their sins once a year" "on the tenth day of the seventh month," verses 34, 29. This was the most important day of the year. The whole nation having had their sins previously forgiven by the atonement made in the Holy, now assemble about their Sanctuary, while the High Priest, attired in his holy garments for glory and beauty, verse 4, Exodus 28, having the golden bells on the hem of his robe that his sound may be heard when he goeth in before the Lord, the breast-plate of judgment on his heart, with their names therein that he may bear their judgment, also in it the Urim and Thummim (light and perfection), and the plate of pure gold, the holy crown, (Leviticus 8:9, Exodus 28:36), with "Holiness to the Lord" engraved upon it, placed upon the fore-front of his mitre that he may bear the iniquities of the holy things, enters the Holy of Holies to make an atonement to cleanse them, that they may be clean from all their sins before the Lord, verse 30. The victims for the atonement of this day were, for the priest himself, a young bullock for a sin-offering, verse 3, and for the people, two goats; one for a sin-offering and the other for the scape-goat, and a ram for a burnt-offering, verses 5-8. He killed or caused to be killed the bullock for a sin-offering for himself, verse 11. "Then he shall take a censer full of burning coals of fire from off the altar before the Lord, and his hands full of sweet incense beaten small, and bringing it within the veil; And he shall put the incense upon the fire before the Lord, that the cloud of the incense may cover the mercy-seat that is upon the testimony that he die not. And he shall take of the blood of the bullock, and sprinkle it with his finger upon the mercy-seat eastward; and before the mercy-seat shall he sprinkle of the blood with his finger seven times," verses 12-14. So much in preparation to make an atonement for the people; a description of which follows: {1850 ORLC, SANC 13.1}

"Then shall he kill the goat of the sin-offering which is for the people and bring his blood within the veil, and do with that blood as he did with the blood of the bullock, and sprinkle it upon the mercy-seat. And he shall make an atonement for (cleanse, see marginal references,) the holy place (within the veil, verse 2), because of the uncleanness of the children of Israel, because of their transgressions in all their sins: and so shall he do for (i.e. atone for or cleanse), the tabernacle of the congregation (the Holy) that remaineth among them in the midst of their uncleanness," verses 15, 16; "And he shall go out (of the Holy of Holies) unto the altar that is before the Lord (in the Holy) and make an atonement for it; and shall take of the blood (for himself), and of the blood of the goat (for the people), and put it upon the horns of the altar round about. And he shall sprinkle of the blood upon it with his finger seven times, and cleanse it, and hallow it from the uncleanness of the children of Israel," verses 18, 19. The altar was the golden altar of incense in the Holy upon which the blood of individual atonements was sprinkled during the daily ministrations. Thus it received the uncleanness from which it is now cleansed. Exodus 30:1-10; "Aaron shall make an atonement upon the horns of it once a year, with the blood of the sin-offering of atonement." We see from verse 20, that at this stage of the work "he hath made an end of reconciling the holy place, and the tabernacle of the congregation, and the altar," i.e. the Holy of Holies, the Holy, and the altar in the latter. {1850 ORLC, SANC 13.2}

We have before seen that atone, reconcile, cleanse, etc., signify the same, hence at this stage he has made an end of cleansing those places. As the blood of atonements for the forgiveness of sins was not sprinkled in the court, but in the tabernacle only, the entire work of cleansing the Sanctuary was performed within the tabernacle. These were holy things, yet cleansed yearly. The holy place within the veil contained the ark of the covenant, covered with the mercy-seat, overshadowed by the cherubims, between which the Lord dwelt in the cloud of divine glory. Who would think of calling such a place unclean? Yet the Lord provided at the time, yea, before it was built, that it should be annually cleansed. It was by blood, and not by fire, that this Sanctuary, which was a type of the new covenant Sanctuary was cleansed. {1850 ORLC, SANC 14.1}

The high priest on this day "bore the iniquities of the holy things which the children of Israel hallowed in all their holy gifts." Exodus 28:38. These holy things composed the Sanctuary. Numbers 18:1. "And the Lord said unto Aaron, Thou, and thy sons, and thy father's house with thee shall bear the iniquity of the Sanctuary." This "iniquity of the Sanctuary" we have learned was not its own properly, but the children of Israel's, God's own people's, which it had received from them. And this transfer of iniquity from the people to their Sanctuary was not a mere casualty, incident on scenes of lawless rebellion, bloodshed or idolatry among themselves, not the devastation of an enemy; but it was according to the original arrangement and regular operation of this typical system. For we must bear in mind that all the instructions were given to Moses and Aaron before the erection of the Sanctuary. Provision was made to make atonement for sins committed in ignorance; but not till after they were known, Leviticus 4:14, 5:3-6, then of course they became sins of knowledge. Then the individual bore his iniquity, Leviticus 5:1-17; 7:1-8, till he presented his offering to the priest and slew it, the priest made an atonement with the blood, Leviticus 17:11, and he was forgiven, then of course free from his iniquity. {1850 ORLC, SANC 14.2}

Now at what point did he cease to bear his iniquity? Evidently when he had presented his victim slain; he had then done his part. Through what medium was his iniquity conveyed to the Sanctuary? Through his victim, or rather its blood when the priest took and sprinkled it before the veil and on the altar. Thus the iniquity was communicated to their Sanctuary. The first thing done for the people on the tenth day of the seventh month was to cleanse it, thence by the same means, the application of blood. This done, the high priest bore the "iniquity of the Sanctuary" for the people "to make atonement for them," Leviticus 10:17. "And when he hath made an end of reconciling the holy place (within the veil, verse 2) and the tabernacle of the congregation and the altar (or when he hath cleansed the Sanctuary), he shall bring the live goat: And Aaron shall lay both his hands upon the head of the live goat, and confess over him all the iniquities of the children of Israel, and all their transgressions and all their sins, putting them upon the head of the goat, and shall send him away by the hand of a fit man into the wilderness: And the goat shall bear upon him all their iniquities into a land not inhabited (margin, of separation) Leviticus 16:20-22. This was the only office of the scape-goat, to finally receive and bear away from Israel all their iniquities into an uninhabited wilderness and there retain them, leaving Israel at their Sanctuary, and the priest to complete the atonement of the day by burning the fat of the sin-offerings, and offering the two rams for burnt-offerings on the brazen altar in the court, verses 24, 25. The burning without the camp of the carcasses of the sin-offerings closed the services of this important day, verse 27. {1850 ORLC, SANC 15.1}

THE ANTITYPE

As this legal system which we have been considering was only a "shadow", a "figure" and "patterns" of no value in itself only to teach us the nature of that perfect system of redemption which is its "body", the "things themselves"; which was devised in the councils of heaven, and is being wrought out by "the only Begotten of the Father"; let us, guided by the Spirit of truth, learn the solemn realities thus shadowed forth. By these patterns, finite as we are, we may like Paul, extend our research beyond the limits of our natural vision to the "heavenly things themselves". Here we find the entire ministry of the law fulfilled in Christ, who was anointed with the Holy Ghost and by His own blood entered His Sanctuary, heaven itself, when He ascended to the right hand of the throne of the Majesty in the heavens, as "A minister of the (**Hagion**) Holies, etc., Hebrews 8:6,2. - Paul, after speaking of the daily services in the Holy, and the yearly in the Holy of Holies, says, Hebrews 9:8, "The Holy Ghost this signifying that the way of the Holies (**Hodon Hagion**) was not yet made manifest; while as the first tabernacle was yet standing, which was a figure for the time then present, in which were offered" etc., "until the time of reformation: But Christ being come, an High Priest of the (ton) good things to come, by a greater and more perfect tabernacle, not made with hands, "by His own blood He entered on or into the holy things" (**eis hagia**) Hebrews 9:8-12. The phrase, eis hagia, verse 12, is the same as that rendered "holy places", verse 24. Hagia, in these two verses, is in the acc. pl. neuter and governed by the prep. eis which signifies on, into, upon, or among, Hagia, being a neuter adjective, is properly rendered "holy things"; but Hagia in verse 2, is in the nom. sin. fem. and properly rendered, Holy place. The definite article "the", belonging before "good things" in verse 11 and Hebrews 10:1 makes the expression mean things "good in themselves, or abstractly good." {1850 ORLC, SANC 16.1}

This shows the perfect harmony of Hebrews 9:11, 12, 23, 24, and Hebrews 10:1. The "things" are "good in themselves", "holy", or "heavenly", and in "heaven itself", where Christ has

entered as our High Priest to "minister" for us; and those "holy things" "in heaven" are connected with the "greater and more perfect tabernacle", "which the Lord pitched and not man"; the same as the holy things of the first covenant were connected with their tabernacle, Hebrews 9:1-5; and all those holy things together make the Sanctuary. The Holies (two) verse 8, the way of which was not made manifest till the time of reformation, when Christ shed His own blood, belong to His "greater and more perfect tabernacle", spoken of in the next verse. I translate the names literal, because they are not literal in our common version. The Douay Bible has them as here given. The word in Hebrews 9:8,10,19, is Hagion, "of the Holies", instead of the "holiest of all"; and shows that the blood of Christ is the way or means by which He, as our High Priest was to enter both apartments of the heavenly tabernacle. Now if there be but one place in the heavens, as many say, why were there two in the figure? And why, in applying the figure, does Paul speak of two? Perhaps those who "despise the law" and "corrupt the covenant of Levi" can explain this; if not, we advise them to abide by Paul's exposition of the matter. {1850 ORLC, SANC 16.2}

Hebrews 6:19, 20, is supposed to prove that Christ entered the Holy of Holies at His ascension, because Paul said He had entered within the veil. But the veil which divides between the Holy and the Holy of Holies is "the second veil", Hebrews 9:3; hence there are two veils, and that in Hebrews 6, being the first of which he speaks, must be the first veil, which hung before the Holy, and in Exodus was called a curtain. When He entered within the veil, He entered His tabernacle, of course the Holy, as that was the first apartment; and our hope, as an anchor of the soul, enters within the veil, i.e., the atonement of both apartments, including both the forgiveness and the blotting out of sins. {1850 ORLC, SANC 17.1}

Those who hold that Christ entered the Holy of Holies at, and has been ministering therein ever since His ascension, also believe, as of course they must, that the atonement of the Gospel Dispensation is the antitype of the atonement made on the tenth day of the seventh month under the law. If this is so, the events of the legal tenth day, have had their antitypes during the Gospel Dispensation. The first event in the atonement service of that day, was the cleansing of the Sanctuary, as we have seen from Leviticus 16. Then, upon their theory, the Sanctuary of the new covenant was cleansed in the early part of the Gospel Dispensation. {1850 ORLC, SANC 17.2}

Evidence is not wanting that neither the earth nor Palestine, their Sanctuaries, was then cleansed. I call them their Sanctuaries, for they are not the Lord's. But if the Lord's new covenant Sanctuary was then cleansed, the 2300 days ended then; but if they are years, which we all believe, they extend 1810 years beyond the 70 weeks, and the last of those weeks was the first of the new covenant or Gospel Dispensation. The fact that those days reach 1810 beyond the 70 weeks, and that the Sanctuary could not be cleansed till the end of those days, is demonstration that the antitype of the legal tenth day is not the Gospel Dispensation; Again, if the atonement of that day is typical of the atonement of the Gospel Dispensation, then the atonement made in the Holy, Hebrews 9:6, previous to that day, was finished before the Gospel Dispensation began. It has been shown that that atonement was made for the forgiveness of sins, and I have found no evidence that such an atonement was made on tenth day of the seventh month. The Gospel Dispensation began with the preaching of Christ, and if it is the antitype of the legal tenth day, one of the two things is true; either the Saviour, instead of fulfilling, has destroyed the greater part of the law, the daily service of the Holy which

occupied the whole year except one day, the tenth of the seventh month; or else He fulfilled the whole law except one three hundred and sixtieth part of it before the Gospel Dispensation began, and before He was anointed as the Messiah to fulfil the law and the prophets. One of these two conclusions is inevitable on the hypotheses that the Gospel Dispensation and the atonement made in it, is the antitype of the legal tenth day, and the atonement made in it. Upon which of these horns will they hang? If on the former, the declaration, "I came not to destroy the law", pierces them; but if they choose the latter, it then becomes them to prove that the law, which had a shadow of good things to come, was fulfilled within itself, that the shadow and substance filled the same place and time; also they will need to prove that the entire atonement for the forgiveness of sins was made before the Lamb was slain with whose blood the atonement was to be made. Now it must be clear to every one, that if the antitype of the yearly service (Hebrews 9:7), began at the first Advent, the antitype of the daily (Hebrews 9:6), had been previously fulfilled; and, as the atonement for forgiveness was a part of that daily service, they are involved in the conclusion that there has been no forgiveness of sins under the Gospel Dispensation. Such a theory is wholly at war with the entire genius of the Gospel Dispensation, and stands rebuked, not only by Moses and Paul, but by the teaching and works of our Saviour and His commission to His apostles, by their subsequent teaching and the history of the Christian church. But again, they say the atonement was made and finished on Calvary, when the Lamb of God expired. So men have taught us, and so the churches and world believe; but it is none the more true or sacred on that account, if unsupported by Divine authority. Perhaps few or none who hold that opinion have ever tested the foundation on which it rests. {1850 ORLC, SANC 17.3}

1. If the atonement was made on Calvary, by whom was it made? The making of the atonement is the work of a Priest? but who officiated on Calvary? - Roman soldiers and wicked Jews. {1850 ORLC, SANC 19.1}

2. The slaying of the victim was not making the atonement: the sinner slew the victim, Leviticus 4:1-4, 13-15, etc., after that the Priest took the blood and made the atonement. Leviticus 4:5-12, 16-21. {1850 ORLC, SANC 19.2}

3. Christ was the appointed High Priest to make the atonement, and He certainly could not have acted in that capacity till after His resurrection, and we have no record of His doing any thing on earth after His resurrection, which could be called the atonement. {1850 ORLC, SANC 19.3}

4. The atonement was made in the Sanctuary, but Calvary was not such a place. {1850 ORLC, SANC 19.4}

5. He could not, according to Hebrews 8:4, make the atonement while on earth. "If He were on earth, He should not be a Priest." The Levitical was the earthly priesthood, the Divine, the heavenly. {1850 ORLC, SANC 19.5}

6. Therefore, He did not begin the work of making the atonement, whatever the nature of that work may be, till after His ascension, when by His own blood He entered His heavenly Sanctuary for us. {1850 ORLC, SANC 19.6}

Let us now examine a few texts that appear to speak of the atonement as passed. Romans 5:11; "By whom we have now received the atonement," (margin, reconciliation). This passage clearly shows a present possession of the atonement at that time the apostle wrote; but it by no means proves that the entire atonement was then in the past. {1850 ORLC, SANC 19.7}

When the Saviour was about to be taken up from His apostles, He "commanded them that they should not depart from Jerusalem, but wait for the promise of the Father," which came on the day of Pentecost when they were all "baptized with the Holy Ghost." Christ had entered His Father's house, the Sanctuary, as High Priest, and began His intercession for His people by "praying the Father" for "another Comforter", John 14:15, "and having received of the Father the promise of the Holy Ghost," Acts 2:33, He shed it down upon His waiting apostles. Then, in compliance with their commission, Peter, at the third hour of the day began to preach, "Repent, and be baptized every one of you in the name of Jesus Christ, for the remission of sins," Acts 2:38. This word remission, signifies forgiveness, pardon or more literally sending away of sins. {1850 ORLC, SANC 19.8}

Now put by the side of this text another on this point from his discourse at the ninth hour of the same day, Acts 3:19, "Repent ye therefore; and be converted that your sins may be blotted out when the times of refreshing shall come from the presence of the Lord." Here he exhorts to repentance and conversion (turning away from sins); for what purpose? "That your sins may be (future) blotted out." Every one can see that the blotting out of sins does not take place at repentance and conversion; but follows, and must of necessity be preceded by them. Repentance, conversion, and baptism had then become imperative duties in the present tense; and when performed, those doing them "washed away" (Acts 22:16) remitted or sent away from them their sins. (Acts 2:28); and of course are forgiven and have "received the atonement"; but they had not received it entire at that time, because their sins were not yet blotted out. {1850 ORLC, SANC 20.1}

How far then had they advanced in the reconciling process? Just so far as the individual under the law had when he had confessed his sin, brought his victim to the door of the tabernacle, laid his hand upon it and slain it, and the priest had with its blood entered the Holy and sprinkled it before the veil and upon the altar and thus made an atonement for him, and he was forgiven. Only that was the type, and this the reality. That prepared for the cleansing of the great day of atonement, this for the blotting out of sins "when the times of refreshing shall come from the presence of the Lord, and He shall send Jesus." Hence, "by whom we have now received the atonement" is the same as "by whom we have received forgiveness of sin." At this point the man is "made free from sin." The Lamb on Calvary's cross is our victim slain; "Jesus the Mediator of the new Covenant" "in the heavens" is our interceding High Priest, making atonement with His own blood, by and with which He entered there. The essence of the process is the same as in the "shadow". First, Convinced of sin; Second, Repentance and Confession; Third, Present the Divine sacrifice bleeding. This done in faith and sincerity we can do no more, no more is required. {1850 ORLC, SANC 20.2}

Then in the heavenly Sanctuary our High Priest with his own blood makes the atonement and we are forgiven. 1Peter 2:24; "Who His own self bare our sins in His own body on the tree." See also Matthew 8:17; Isaiah 53:4-12. His body is the "one sacrifice" for repenting mortals, to which their sins are imputed, and through whose blood in the hands of an active Priest they

are conveyed to the heavenly Sanctuary. That was offered "once for all", "on the tree"; and all who would avail themselves of its merits must through faith, there receive it as theirs, bleeding at the hands of sinful mortals like themselves. After thus obtaining the atonement of forgiveness we must "maintain good works", not the "deeds of the law"; but "being dead to sin should live unto righteousness." This work we all understand to be peculiar to the Gospel Dispensation. {1850 ORLC, SANC 20.3}

An inferential objection arises, which in many minds overwhelms any amount of Bible argument on this point. It is, New Jerusalem cannot be defiled, hence needs no cleansing; therefore, New Jerusalem is not the Sanctuary. A very summary process of inferential deduction truly, especially for those who have said so much on the insufficiency of mere inferential testimony. We would advise them to review the grounds of their faith, and see how many and strong arguments they have for the earth or Palestine being the Sanctuary, and how many objections to the Sanctuary of the new covenant being where its Priest is, that are not entirely inferential; and then in place of their inferences, take the plain testimony of the Word and teach it. But how was the Sanctuary defiled? {1850 ORLC, SANC 21.1}

The Sanctuary of the Old Testament, being on earth, could be, and was, defiled in various ways - by an unclean person entering it; "She shall touch no hallowed thing, nor come into the Sanctuary, until the days of her purifying be fulfilled;" Leviticus 12:4. It could be profaned by the high priest going out of it, while the anointing oil was upon him, for the dead; (Leviticus 21:12) by a man's negotiating to purify himself; Numbers 17:20 see Numbers 19:20. All the chief of the priests and of the people polluted it by transgressing very much after all the abominations of the heathen; 2Chronicles 36:14. "Surely, because thou hast defiled My Sanctuary with all thy detestable things, and with all thine abominations (idolatry), therefore will I diminish thee." Ezekiel 5:11. {1850 ORLC, SANC 21.2}

Moreover this they have done unto Me; they have defiled My Sanctuary in the same day, and have profaned My Sabbaths: for when they had slain their children to their idols, then they came the same day into My Sanctuary to profane it; Ezekiel 33:38,39. "Her priests have polluted the Sanctuary; they have done violence to the law." Zephaniah 3:4. Antiochus polluted it by offering swine flesh upon its altar, 1Maccabees 1:20-24. From these texts we can clearly see, that it was moral rather than physical uncleanness that defiled the Sanctuary in the sight of the Lord. True, it did become physically unclean, but that uncleanness had to be removed before the atonement was made by which it was reconciled or cleansed. See 2Chronicles 29. And that, we have seen was the law of cleansing, Leviticus, chapters 12 to 15; the object must be made visibly clean, so to speak, so that we would call it clean, to prepare it for its real cleansing with blood. Now no one supposes that New Jerusalem is unclean or ever has been, as its type was when overrun, desecrated and desolated by Syrian, Chaldean or Roman soldiery, or trode by wicked priests. Even if it were, the removing of such defilement would not be the cleansing it was to undergo at the end of the 2300 days. The Sanctuary was unclean in some sense, or else it would not need to be cleansed; and it must in some way have received its uncleanness from man. Removed, as the heavenly Sanctuary is from the midst of mortals and entered only by our Forerunner, Jesus, made an High Priest, it can only be defiled by mortals through His agency, and for them cleansed by the same agency. The legal typical process of defiling and cleansing the Sanctuary through the agency of the priest has been examined. With that in our minds, let us go to the New Testament. Paul says, Colossians 1:19,

20, "For it pleased the Father that in Him should all fullness dwell, and having made (margin, making) peace through the blood of His cross, by Him to reconcile all things unto Himself; by Him I say, whether they be things on earth or things in heaven." When "things on earth" are spoken of in connection or contrast with "things in heaven", no one can understand them all to be in the same place. "Things in heaven" are to be reconciled as well as "things on earth". {1850 ORLC, SANC 21.3}

If they needed reconciling they were unreconciled; if unreconciled, then unclean in some sense in His sight. The blood of Christ is the means, and Christ Himself, the agent of reconciling to the Father both the things in heaven and the things on earth. People have an idea that in heaven where our Saviour has gone, every thing is, and always was perfect beyond change or improvement. But He said, "In My Father's house are many mansions; if it were not so, I would have told you. I go to prepare a place for you." He went into heaven, and Paul says that the "building of God, an house not made with hands" is in the heavens; 2Corinthians 5:1. {1850 ORLC, SANC 22.1}

For what did He go to His Father's house? "To prepare a place for you." Then it was unprepared, and when He has prepared it, He will come again and take us to Himself. - Again, Hebrews 9:23, "It was therefore necessary that the patterns of things in the heavens should be purified with these; but the heavenly things themselves with better sacrifices than these." What were the patterns? "The tabernacle and all the vessels of the ministry," (verse 21), which constituted the worldly Sanctuary; verse 1. What were the heavenly things themselves? The greater and more perfect tabernacle (verse 11), and the good things and the holy things (verses 11, 12). - These are all in heaven itself. "For Christ is not entered into the holy places made with hands, which are the figures of the true; but into heaven itself," verse 24. Paul here shows that it was as necessary to purify the heavenly things, as it was to purify their patterns, the worldly. {1850 ORLC, SANC 23.1}

THE SCAPE-GOAT

The next event of that day after the Sanctuary was cleansed, was putting all the iniquities and transgressions of the children of Israel upon the head of the scape-goat and sending him away into a land not inhabited, or of separation. It is supposed by almost every one that this goat typified Christ in some of His offices, and that the type was fulfilled at the first Advent. From this opinion I must differ; because, First, That goat was not sent away till after the High Priest had made an end of cleansing the Sanctuary, Leviticus 16:20, 21; hence that event cannot meet its antitype till after the end of the 2300 days. Second, It was sent away from Israel into the wilderness, a land not inhabited, to receive them. If our blessed Saviour is its antitype, He also must be sent away, not His body alone, but soul and body, for the goat was sent away alive, from, not to nor into this people; neither into heaven, for that is not a wilderness or land not inhabited. Third, It received and retained all the iniquities of Israel; but when Christ appears the second time He will be "without sin". Fourth, The goat received the iniquities from the hands of the priest and he sent it away. As Christ is the Priest the goat must be something else besides Himself, and which He can send away. Fifth, This was one of two goats chosen for that day, one was the Lord's and offered for a sin-offering; but the other was not called the Lord's, neither offered as a sacrifice. Its only office was to receive the iniquities from the priest after he had cleansed the Sanctuary from them, and bear them into a land not inhabited, leaving

the Sanctuary, priest and people behind and free from their iniquities. Leviticus 16:7-10, 22. Sixth, The Hebrew name of the scape-goat, as will be seen from the margin of verse 8, is "Azazel". On this verse, Wm. Jenks, in his Comp. Com. has the following remarks: "(Scape-goat.) See diff. opin. in Bochart. Spencer, after the oldest opinion of the Hebrews and Christians, thinks **Azazel is the name of the devil; and so Rosenmire, whom see. The Syriac has Azazel, the angel, (Strongone) who revolted.**" Seventh, At the appearing of Christ, as taught from Revelation 20, Satan is to be bound and cast into the bottomless pit, which act and place are significantly symbolized by the ancient High Priest sending the scape-goat into a separate and uninhabited wilderness. Eighth, Thus we have the Scripture, the definition of the name in two ancient languages both spoken at the same time, and the oldest opinion of the Christians in favor of regarding the scape-goat as a type of Satan. In the common use of the term, men always associate it with something mean, calling the greatest villains and refugees from justice scape-goats. Ignorance of the law and its meaning is the only possible origin that can be assigned for the opinion that the scape-goat was a type of Christ. {1850 ORLC, SANC 23.2}

Because it is said, "The goat shall bear upon him all their iniquities into a land not inhabited." Leviticus 16:22; And John said, "Behold the Lamb of God, that taketh (margin, beareth) away the sin of the world," it is concluded without further thought that the former was the type of the latter. But a little attention to the law will show that the sins were borne from the people by the priest, and from the priest by the goat. First, They are imparted to the victim. Second, The priest bore them in its blood to the Sanctuary. Third, After cleansing them from it on the tenth day of the seventh month, he bore them to the scape-goat. And fourth, The goat finally bore them away beyond the camp of Israel to the wilderness. {1850 ORLC, SANC 24.1}

This was the legal process, and when fulfilled the author of sins will have received them back again, (but the ungodly will bear their own sins), and his head will have been bruised by the seed of the woman; the "strong man armed" will have been bound by a stronger than he, "and his house (the grave) spoiled of its goods (the saints)." Matthew 12:29; Leviticus 11:21, 22 see Leviticus 16:21,22. The thousand years imprisonment of Satan will have begun, and the saints will have entered upon their millennial reign with Christ. {1850 ORLC, SANC 24.2}

The Sanctuary must be cleansed before Christ appears; because, {1850 ORLC, SANC 25.1}

1. He "was once offered to bear the sins of many; and unto them that look for Him shall He appear the second time without sin unto salvation." - Now as His last act in bearing the sins of many is to bear them from the Sanctuary after He has cleansed it, and as He does not appear till after having borne the sins of many, and then without sin; it is manifest that the Sanctuary must be cleansed before He appears. {1850 ORLC, SANC 25.2}

2. The host are still under the indignation after the Sanctuary is cleansed, Daniel 8. Both the Sanctuary and the host were trodden under foot. "Unto 2300 days then shall the Sanctuary be cleansed," or justified (margin). This is the first point in the explanation, and after this Daniel still "sought for the meaning of the vision," and Gabriel came "to make him know what should be in the last end of the indignation." In the explanation which follows; he says nothing about the Sanctuary, because that had been explained by the Wonderful Numberer. He now tells him about the host upon

whom the last end of the indignation still rests after the Sanctuary is cleansed. {1850 ORLC, SANC 25.3}

The last end of the indignation is evidently the bitter persecutions, and the severe and searching trial of God's people, after the Sanctuary is cleansed, and before the indignation is made to cease in the destruction of the little Horn, the fruit and the successor of the Assyrian, Daniel 8:25; Isaiah 10:12; 25:3. The Sanctuary must be cleansed before the resurrection, for the Lord has provided a comforting message for His people, telling them that it is done. "Comfort ye, comfort ye My people, saith your God. Speak ye comfortably to Jerusalem, and cry unto her that her warfare (margin, appointed time) is accomplished, that her iniquity is pardoned: for she hath received of the Lord's hand double for all her sins," Isaiah 11:1, 2 see Isaiah 40:1,2. {1850 ORLC, SANC 25.4}

Jerusalem and the Lord's people are here spoken of, as the Sanctuary and host are in Daniel 8. His people, when Jerusalem's appointed time is accomplished, are affected and are to be comforted by telling them that her iniquity is pardoned. This must be New Jerusalem, for there was never any time set for pardoning the iniquity of Old Jerusalem, which must have had iniquity of some kind and from some source, else she could not be pardoned of it. The fact that the Lord has commanded to comfort His people by telling them that Jerusalem's iniquity is pardoned, is proof positive that she had iniquity, and that it will be removed before His people are delivered and enter her with songs and everlasting joy. This message is similar to that in Isaiah 52:9. After the good and peaceful tidings have been published, saying unto Zion, Thy God reigneth, it is declared, "The Lord has comforted His people, He hath redeemed Jerusalem." - Jerusalem was in a state from which she had to be redeemed, and that before the resurrection; for the next verse says, "All the ends of the earth shall see the salvation of our God." - Day Star Extra, 1846.

Copied from "Advent Review," Vol. 1, Nos. 3 & 4, September 1850. {1850 ORLC, SANC 25.5} -End of Crosier Article; indeed profound.

We declared that the sanctuary of Daniel 8:11 was the heavenly sanctuary, and we said we would further confirm that fact. It is here that we will revisit this issue of the sanctuary and illustrate its connection to the Hebrew word chazon (vision), thus showing conclusively, again from the scriptures, that the sanctuary in Daniel 8:11, 13, 14 is none other than the heavenly sanctuary. We will begin by addressing some lingering points of controversy not previously mentioned in the context of the "daily."

First, in the past and even today, some church members believe, and some even teach, that many leaders of the early church left the faith because they accepted and were misled by the view of the "daily" as being the ministry of Christ. A long list of names of men who supposedly abandoned their advent beliefs is cited to support this understanding.

Once again, brothers and sisters, nothing could be further from the truth. No documentation has been produced for this claim because there is none. A mere listing of names certainly provides no evidence as to the true reason those listed left, nor has that evidence ever been

published. Actually, many of the men named on the list echoed the same sentiments found in Patriarchs and Prophets, 357, and Great Controversy, 418. They were not adherents of the paganism view.

Frankly, the charge of leaving the faith because of acceptance of erroneous interpretation actually can be said of someone holding the paganism view. And, indeed, there does exist documentation that proves specifically that acceptance of the paganism view caused a man to leave the faith because of its many gross inconsistencies. The evidence is in the following letter.

E. J. Hibbard, writing to Elder L. A. Smith (son of Uriah Smith) in Nashville, Tennessee, from San Fernando, California, on October 24, 1909, was at one time an advocate of the paganism view himself. He later changed his view because of the several incongruities he discovered, and humbly admitted his mistake. In this letter, Hibbard attempted to help Smith see the shaky foundation of paganism that Smith was trying to build upon.

Just one year after Uriah Smith's book on Daniel came out, Hibbard related to Smith how he himself had begun to see and understand the truth:

“Dear Brother: Elder L. A. Smith,

“As I have a little time this morning, I will endeavor to reply more fully to the questions contained in your letter of the 17th inst.

“In the spring, of 1874 while holding quarterly meeting in Bear Lake, Penn., elder L. A. Wing, who had been led to give up all three of the messages on account of the inconsistency of the old position on ‘the daily’, invited me to read Dan. 8:9–14 with him.”

Here we read Elder Wing's contemporary, Elder Hibbard, stating the reason Elder Wing had rejected and forsaken the three angels' messages of the Advent movement.

The cause?

“The inconsistency of the old position on the ‘daily.’

Here is documentation that the paganism view caused someone to leave the early remnant church. We continue with Elder Hibbard's letter to Elder Smith about Elder Wing's experience, as well as his response to Smith's reply, because we can gain fuller understanding about the sanctuary from his reasoning in the letters.

“He asked, ‘what is “the daily” mentioned in these verses?’ I replied, ‘It is paganism.’ When he asked ‘What sanctuary is cast down as mention in verse 11?’ I replied, ‘the sanctuary of paganism.’ After this we read verse 13: ‘Then I heard one saint speaking, and another saint said unto that certain saint which spake, How long shall be the vision concerning the daily and the transgression of desolation to give both the sanctuary and host to be trodden under foot?’

“Said he, ‘In the vision, what host was to be trodden under foot?’ I replied, ‘The host of heaven, according to verse 10.’ Then he asked, ‘What sanctuary, in the vision, has been mentioned as being cast down or trodden under foot?’ To this I replied, ‘The sanctuary of paganism, according to verse 11.’

“Now after the question asked in verse 13, ‘How long shall be the vision concerning the daily, and the transgression of desolation, to give both the sanctuary [of paganism] and the host [of heaven] to be trodden under foot,’ the other angel replies, ‘Unto two thousand and three hundred days then shall the sanctuary be cleansed.’ After reading this, he asked, ‘If the sanctuary of the vision was the sanctuary of paganism, and the sanctuary inquired about in verse 13, was the sanctuary of the vision, then what sanctuary is to be cleansed as mentioned in verse 14, since verse 14 is a reply to the question asked in the previous verse?’

“I immediately saw my predicament, and could make no reply at all. He gave me a punch with his finger in the stomach and laughed at me, and that ended the discussion. I, however, told him that I would give the matter thought, for I was sure that verse 14 refers to the cleansing of the heavenly sanctuary, and that the beginning of this even was in 1844.

“The following autumn I began my work in the Battle Creek College as teacher of the Bible; was there three years; but during all that time I could not say a word to any class in reference to ‘the daily’, because it was not yet clear to me. I spent a year at Walla Walla. Light kept coming; yet I could not see to the end of the matter. I therefore kept quiet. Returning East I connected with the Sanitarium at Battle Creek, was there three and a half years, and yet said nothing about it, though the Scripture in question had opened before me quite fully. In 1901 I came to Healdsburg as teacher of the Bible; yet even here I kept the matter to myself until it had been made public in a series of Sabbath School lessons written, I believe by Prof. Prescott. I then found out for the first time that there was another individual among us whose mind had been led in the same as my own. Also, about this time, I found that Elders Jones and Waggoner, each studying by himself, had arrived at the same conclusion as Prof. Prescott and myself. This, to me, was encouraging to find that all four of us, without consulting each other, or any human being, had arrived at the same conclusion. But even without this, I should have held to my convictions, because I was persuaded from the Bible alone; not from some finely drawn-out theory, but from the plain reading of the text.

“You are well acquainted with the reading of this Scripture as found in the Revised Versions; and here the reading is so plain that you cannot mistake the origin of ‘the daily,’ nor of ‘the sanctuary’ of the same;-

‘It [the little horn] took away from him [Christ] the daily, and the place of His [Christ’s] sanctuary was cast down.’ This also agrees with the marginal reading of the King James Version. And even the text of the King James Version itself is not out of harmony with the exact reading which I have referred to. Now it seems to me that no question ought to be asked concerning how this can be, when the plain reading of the Word of God says it is so E. J. HIBBARD... (Brackets Hibbard’s).’

Shortly thereafter, the unpersuaded Elder L. A. Smith replied with a letter and his thirty-two page, two-part tract delineating his paganism interpretation of the “daily” of Daniel 8. E. J. Hibbard responded on November 28, 1909, to Elder L. A. Smith with the following rebuttal:

“Dear Brother, L. A. Smith

“I am pleased to get your letter of recent date, also the enclosed leaflet, setting forth your view on the ‘daily’ of Daniel 8.

“Assuming now that you have proved your point, then we conclude that the sanctuary of Daniel 8:11 is the sanctuary of paganism. This being true, the sanctuary enquired about in verse 13 is the sanctuary of paganism; and the sanctuary to be cleansed in verse 14 is the sanctuary of paganism. And, of course, in harmony with the questions in verse 13, and the answer in verse 14, when the sanctuary of paganism is cleansed, then the sanctuary of paganism will no longer be trodden under foot by the Papacy.

“But you do not believe it was the sanctuary of paganism which was to be cleansed beginning in 1844. Neither do I. It was the heavenly sanctuary; and so also is that of verse 11; for the sanctuary of verse 11 is the only sanctuary thus far mentioned in the vision; and verse 13 asks ‘How long shall be the vision concerning the “daily” and the transgression of desolation, to give both the sanctuary and the host to be trodden under foot?’

“Then the reply (‘Unto two thousand three hundred days then shall the sanctuary be cleansed’) shows that the sanctuary to be cleansed is the same as the one concerning which inquiry was made. Thus: if the sanctuary of verse 14 is the heavenly, and not that of paganism, so also is that of verse 11. No honest person can escape this conclusion.” E. J. HIBBARD (emphasis Hibbard’s).

E. J. Hibbard responded on November 28, 1909, to Elder L. A. Smith.

E. J. Hibbard, writing to Elder L. A. Smith (son of Uriah Smith) in Nashville, Tennessee, from San Fernando, California, on October 24, 1909.

Blessings